

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/866,311	05/25/2001	David Allan Cook	06007/37458	4324	
4743	- 7590 09/27/2005		EXAMINER		
	LL, GERSTEIN & BO	LOPEZ, FRANK D			
SEARS TO	KER DRIVE, SUITE 63 WER	000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		3745		
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/866,311	COOK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
F. Daniel Lopez	3745	

•	F. Daniel Lopez	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mind date of the final rejection. divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Clust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 ÇFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecalise
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).	
13. Other:	,	F. Daniel Lopez Primary Examiner	e/s
		Art Unit: 3745	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments concern the ride improvement system remaining operable while the loader arm is raised or lowered. This is understood to be supported by the declaration and exhibits. It is further understood that remaining operable means that the first and second valves are activated as soon as the lever is released from the lowering position.

The question is what positions do the first and second valves take, when the ride improvement system is operable and the lever is in the lowering position. Exhibit B indicates that the SRS system is deactivated (sixth paragraph), and indicates that deactivating the SRS system means both valves are de-energized (fourth paragraph, emphasis added). The statement on page 3 paragraph 10 of the declaration only states that the ride improvement system remains operable when lowering, but does not say what positions the valves are in.

It is understood that at some point, applicant realized that only one of the valves needed to be closed (as shown by applicant citing the specification and claims), but these exhibits and declaration do not provide any indication as to when this occurred. .